

Clarification Note #6

EUSPA internal reference: 324577

Procurement procedure: EUSPA/OP/02/25

Title: EGNSS Transversal Service Contractor (“ETSC”)

Question #8:

Option 1 and Option 2, covered by requirements ETSCREQ-188 and ETSC-REQ-189 respectively, define the scope of the ESD operations and maintenance activities. Based on the information provided in Section 4.4.2.8.1 of document EUSPA-OP-02-25 – Descriptive Document (Post Corrigendum 3), we understand that Option 1 and Option 2 relate to the operations and maintenance of the so-called ESD Centralised Platform, which is the SW and HW running at GSC premises. Could EUSPA please confirm whether this understanding is correct and clarify the exact perimeter of the activities to be covered under Option 1 and Option 2?

Answer #8:

As described in section 4.4.2.8.1 of EUSPA/OP/02/25 - EGNSS Transversal Service Contractor Descriptive Document [ref. EUSPA-GAL-OPS-TN-A37605 issue 1.1] the EGNSS Service Demonstrator infrastructure is divided into space, ground, support and user segments.

- *Space Segment: The space segment is composed of the core GNSS constellations to be augmented (Galileo, GPS, GLONASS and BeiDou) and the dissemination payloads on GEO (e.g. L5, E5b) or other dissemination satellites (e.g. LEO, MEO, HEO).*
- *Ground Segment: The ground segment is composed of:*
 - *Reference Station Network: it is a set of reference stations acquiring navigation and observation measurements from the GNSS core constellations and the SBAS GEOs.*
 - *ESD Centralised platform: it is a set of elements in charge of processing the navigation and observation measurements acquired from the reference stations mentioned above. It computes the satellite and ionosphere corrections (for SBAS single frequency users), high accuracy corrections, integrity bounds or any other data for a new service that shall be broadcast to the user.*
 - *Dissemination System: it is set of elements to interface with the GEO operator uplink facilities or other RF facilities in charge of transmitting the Galileo/EGNOS mission*

data or SBAS corrections to the GEOs or other dissemination means (e.g. EDAS-like, HAPS, pseudolites, internet) using the appropriate channel.

- *User Segment: it is composed of the user receivers able to process the EGNSS signals and to provide a Position Velocity and Timing (PVT) solution or any other new solution defined, including also dedicated user interfaces for aviation, maritime or other transport means operations.*
- *Support Segment: This segment consists of all the elements needed to operate and maintain the ESD system infrastructure active like performance monitoring, configuration management, maintenance, etc.*

The activities under this Tender focus only on the ground and support segments (and partially the user segment) described above.

Question #9:

Regarding the Clarification Note #2 would kindly ask EUSPA the following additional clarification.

It is understood that the “Total Price for Evaluation Purposes” serves the evaluation purposes for the calculation of the final score and ranking of tenders and can include prices of elements, which cannot be exercised concurrently. Therefore, we understand that the Evaluation price is not representing a theoretical maximum contract price, but represents a price which is significantly higher as includes values which will never be able to be exercised at the set quantities. As EUSPA has defined the tender specifications in section 3.1.2 an estimated total of all purchases under the Contract of EUR 26,000,000.00, can EUSPA elaborate the relation of this maximum total contract price and the “Total Price for Evaluation Purposes” and how and if the compliance to the estimated total of all purchases under the Contract will be evaluated.

Answer #9:

The estimated total value of all purchases under the Contract specified in section 3.1.2 of the Tender Specifications does not represent the maximum price of the contract but the estimated value of the contract within the meaning of the Financial Regulation. As such, compliance with the estimated value, alone, will not be subject to evaluation. Please refer to section 3.1.2 for further details as to the components of the estimated value.

Question #10:

Following the publication of Corrigendum No. 4, Annex II.IV – Statement of Work was updated to reflect the changes communicated therein. However, Version 1.2 of Annex II.IV – Statement of Work contains additional modifications that were not communicated through Corrigendum No. 4 and appear to be

inconsistent with the Tender Specifications (Post Corrigendum No. 2). In particular, the following changes introduced in Version 1.2 of the Statement of Work have been identified:

| SoW 1.0 | SoW 1.2 (post corrigendum 4) | Tender Specifications (post corrigendum 2) |
|--|--|---|
| Section 12: "...30 months" | Section 12: "... 48 months" | Section 3.1.4: 30 months |
| ETSC-REQ-455: Option 6; ..."five (5) times, up to a maximum duration of such extension of 2.5 years" | ETSC-REQ-455: Option 6; ..."four times, up to a maximum duration of such extension of 2 years" | Table 3: 5 activations |
| ETSC-REQ-456: Option 7; ..."five (5) times, up to a maximum duration of such extension of 2.5 years" | ETSC-REQ-456: Option 7; ..."four times, up to a maximum duration of such extension of 2 years" | Table 3: 5 activations |
| ETSC-REQ-457: Option 8; ..."five (5) times, up to a maximum duration of such extension of 2.5 years" | ETSC-REQ-457: Option 8; ..."four times, up to a maximum duration of such extension of 2 years" | Table 3: 5 activations |
| ETSC-REQ-189: Option 2; "...twice per year for a duration of six months" | ETSC-REQ-189: Option 2; "...once per year for a duration of one year" | Table 3: 10 activations |

Could EUSPA please confirm which information shall prevail in the event of inconsistency: the Tender Specifications (Post Corrigendum No. 2) or Version 1.2 of Annex II.IV – Statement of Work? If Version 1.2 of the Statement of Work is to prevail, please note that the published Financial Proposal Template is not aligned with the number of activations defined in the Statement of Work. Could EUSPA therefore clarify how Tenderers are expected to complete the Financial Proposal under these circumstances?

Answer #10:

Please refer to the Corrigendum No. 5.