

Copernicus for CAP eco-schemes

The EU's [Common Agricultural Policy \(CAP\)](#) introduced the idea of "[eco-schemes](#)" in its 2023–2027 reform as a central tool to promote more sustainable farming. **Eco-schemes are voluntary programs that reward farmers who adopt environmentally friendly practices that go beyond basic legal requirements.** They aim to encourage a transition towards climate-smart, biodiversity-enhancing, and resource-efficient agriculture while maintaining farm income support.

Each EU member state chooses its own set of eco-schemes within the overall CAP framework, tailoring soil health, water quality, carbon sequestration, and wildlife conservation according to local needs. **Examples of eco-scheme measures include maintaining permanent grasslands, planting cover crops, reducing pesticide and fertilizer use, establishing pollinator habitats, and practicing organic or agroecological farming.** The full list of eligible eco-scheme practices are available [here](#).



Image source: https://eu-cap-network.ec.europa.eu/index_en

Monitoring of CAP eco-schemes by paying agencies is a crucial part of ensuring that farmers comply with the environmental commitments attached to their payments. **The European Commission requires member states to have robust systems to verify that the supported practices are implemented and maintained. Paying agencies primarily monitor eco-schemes through a combination of administrative checks, on-the-spot inspections, and increasingly, digital monitoring tools such as satellite data and geotagged photos.** Administrative checks are often cross-referenced with geospatial data in the Integrated Administration and Control System (IACS), which includes the Land Parcel Identification System (LPIS) — a digital map database of agricultural land.

A major innovation in recent CAP reforms is the use of Area Monitoring Systems (AMS), which rely on satellite imagery from the EU's Copernicus program (and alternative data sources) to monitor agricultural activities continuously throughout the year. These systems allow paying agencies to detect practices such as mowing, ploughing, bare soil maintenance, or crop cover changes automatically and in near real time.



Image source: https://eu-cap-network.ec.europa.eu/news/estimating-climate-change-mitigation-potential-eus-cap-strategic-plans_en

This page lists all eco-scheme practices that are currently monitorable (in whole or in part) via the use of Earth Observation (EO) data. Here we focus primarily on the application of Copernicus data in eco-scheme monitoring. Commercial data sources are, of course, also applicable and can be used alone or in conjunction with Copernicus data.

For each relevant eco-scheme practice, we provide a concise overview of the **most relevant Sentinel data, indices derived from EO data, data processing techniques, markers, future Copernicus missions and common challenges experienced when applying EO data**. It should be noted that the information listed is not exhaustive, with more indices, techniques, markers etc. also being applicable. Here we try to list the most relevant and commonly used elements in eco-scheme monitoring. The eco-scheme practices themselves are grouped by common theme, as per the [European Commission taxonomy](#).



Image source: https://eu-cap-network.ec.europa.eu/news/estimating-climate-change-mitigation-potential-eus-cap-strategic-plans_en

The information presented has been synthesised from consultation interviews with relevant stakeholders and responses gathered from various Paying Agencies via a survey which investigated common applications of EO data and challenges faced in the monitoring of eco-schemes.

								Sentinel-1		Sentinel-2		Nonlinear Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)		Leaf Area Index (LAI)		Nonlinear Difference Index (NDI)		Time Series Analysis		Object Based Image Analysis (OBIA)		Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)		Daily/Continuous Monitoring		Phenological Crop Position		Spectral Reflectance Metrics		Machine Learning / AI		Land cover / land use		Crop Optimizer		Bare soil monitor		Monitoring monitor		Artificial surface monitor		Tillage event monitor		Harrowing monitor		Horticultural monitor		Homogenization monitor		Continuous Monitoring System		Compliance and Prohibited Practices Monitoring (COPPERS)		Compliance and Prohibited Practices Monitoring System for Europe (41_LandUsePolicyEU)	
		Eco-scheme practices		Relevant Sentinel(s)		Relevant Indices		Relevant data processing techniques		Relevant markers		Relevant Future Copernicus Missions		Typical challenges / Minimum Monitored Features, variables or activities																																									
Organic farming practices (as defined in Regulation (EU) 2018/848)	Conversion to organic farming			✓	✓ ✓ ✓		✓	✓ ✓		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			✓ ✓	Parcel Size and Shape <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small, narrow, fragmented, and irregular parcels (<0.2 ha) are hard to detect. Boundary detection near forests problematic, hedges, or wooden strips complicate monitoring. 																																									
	Maintenance of organic farming			✓	✓ ✓ ✓		✓	✓ ✓		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			✓ ✓	Spatial Resolution Limitations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sentinel imagery resolution too coarse for small features and detailed practices. 																																									
Integrated Pest Management practices	Buffer strips with management practices and without pesticide			✓ ✓	✓		✓ ✓			✓ ✓			✓ ✓	Specific Activities Hard to Monitor <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many organic practices (e.g., input use, crop protection) are not directly observable using EO data. Certain compliance aspects (e.g. no prohibited inputs) are difficult to monitor 																																									
	Land lying fallow with species composition for biodiversity purpose			✓	✓ ✓ ✓		✓		✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	Environmental and Technical Constraints <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cloudy periods reduce data reliability. Snow interrupts vegetation curves. Grazing/mowing detection not reliable. Soil monitoring limitations in case of permanent crops. 																																									
Agro-ecology	Crop rotation with leguminous crops			✓	✓ ✓ ✓		✓		✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			✓ ✓ ✓	Parcel Size and Shape <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small, narrow, fragmented, and irregular parcels (<0.2 ha) are hard to detect. Boundary detection near forests problematic, hedges, or wooden strips complicate monitoring. 																																									
	Mixed cropping - multi cropping			✓	✓ ✓ ✓		✓		✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			✓ ✓ ✓	Vegetation and Crop Complexity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complex/mixed vegetation, herb-rich grasslands, and buffer strips give inconsistent signals. 																																									
	Cover crop between tree rows on permanent crops - orchards, vineyards, olive trees - above conditionality			✓	✓ ✓ ✓		✓ ✓		✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			✓ ✓	Spatial Resolution Limitations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sentinel imagery resolution too coarse for small features and detailed practices. 																																									
	Winter soil cover and catch crops above conditionality			✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓				✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			✓ ✓	Specific Activities Hard to Monitor <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Absence of pesticide use difficult to monitor Species composition and biodiversity value difficult to monitor directly 																																									
	Improved rice cultivation to decrease methane emissions (e.g. alternate wet and dry techniques)			✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓		✓		✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			✓ ✓	Environmental and Technical Constraints <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cloudy periods reduce data reliability. Snow interrupts vegetation curves. Soil monitoring limitations in case of permanent crops. 																																									
Agro-forestry	Establishment and maintenance of landscape features above conditionality			✓ ✓	✓ ✓		✓ ✓		✓	✓ ✓			✓ ✓	Parcel Size and Shape <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small, narrow, fragmented, and irregular parcels (<0.2 ha) are hard to detect. Boundary detection near forests problematic, hedges, or wooden strips complicate monitoring. 																																									
	Management and cutting plan of landscape features			✓ ✓	✓		✓ ✓		✓	✓ ✓			✓ ✓	Vegetation and Crop Complexity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complex/mixed vegetation, trees, herb-rich grasslands, and buffer strips give inconsistent signals. 																																									

										Copernicus Missions									
		Eco-scheme practices		Relevant Sentinel(s)		Relevant Indices		Relevant data processing techniques		Relevant markers		Relevant Future Copernicus Missions		Typical challenges / Minimum Monitorable Features, variables or activities					
High nature value (HNV) farming	Land lying fallow with species composition for biodiversity purpose (pollination, birds, game feedstocks, etc.)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Parcel Size and Shape o Small, narrow, fragmented, and irregular parcels (<0.2 ha) are hard to detect. o Boundary detection near forests problematic, hedges, or wooden strips complicate monitoring.	
	Reduction of fertiliser use, low intensity management in arable crops			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Vegetation and Crop Complexity o Complex/mixed vegetation, herb-rich grasslands, and buffer strips give inconsistent signals.	
Carbon farming	Conservation agriculture			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Environmental and Technical Constraints o Cloudy periods reduce data reliability. o Snow interrupts vegetation curves.	
	Establishment and maintenance of permanent grassland			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Parcel Size and Shape o Small, narrow, fragmented, and irregular parcels (<0.2 ha) are hard to detect. o Boundary detection near forests problematic, hedges, or wooden strips complicate monitoring.	
Precision farming	Extensive use of permanent grassland			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Vegetation and Crop Complexity o Complex/mixed vegetation, herb-rich grasslands, and buffer strips give inconsistent signals.	
	Nutrients management plan, use of innovative approaches to minimise nutrient release, optimal pH for nutrient uptake, circular agriculture			✓	✓		✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Parcel Size and Shape o Small, narrow, fragmented, and irregular parcels (<0.2 ha) are hard to detect. o Boundary detection near forests problematic, hedges, or wooden strips complicate monitoring.	
Protecting water resources	Precision crop farming to reduce inputs (fertilisers, water, plant protection products)			✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Vegetation and Crop Complexity o Complex/mixed vegetation, herb-rich grasslands, and buffer strips give inconsistent signals.	
	Improving irrigation efficiency			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Single-Day or Short-Term Events o Mowing, tillage, and harvest often missed if no satellite pass that day. o Detection of grazing can be difficult (NDVI changes too small).	
Other practices beneficial for soil	Managing crop water demand (switching to less water intensive crops, changing planting dates, optimised irrigation schedules)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Environmental and Technical Constraints o Cloudy periods reduce data reliability. o Snow interrupts vegetation curves.	
	Erosion prevention strips and wind breaks			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Parcel Size and Shape o Small, narrow, fragmented, and irregular parcels (<0.2 ha) are hard to detect. o Boundary detection near forests problematic, hedges, wooden or stony strips complicate monitoring.	
	Establishment or maintenance of terraces and strip cropping			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Spatial Resolution Limitations o Sentinel imagery resolution too coarse for small features and detailed practices. o Distinguishing between permanent vegetation covers (grasses, cover crops, legumes) and wind break features is resolution-limited.	
																		Environmental and Technical Constraints o Cloudy periods reduce data reliability.	