

**Clarification Note #2**  
**EGNSS Service Demonstrator (ESD)**  
**EUSPA/OP/17/24**  
(EUSPA internal reference: 312619)

**Question #10:** Topic: Confirmation of the Filename convention to be used for docs to be provided as part of the proposal

According to the Tender CISL, the applicable document [AD.248] EUSPA-PCEDQ-CADM-PRC-A10070\_1.3, provides the reference numbering to be used for UNCLA documentation to be prepared. In particular, the filename convention is detailed in section 3.4.1

Considering that the document reference numbering to be followed is "*Programme-DocType-Company-Segment or Project-Unique Identifier/Number*".

Our understanding is that "*Project*" is ESD; nevertheless, we are not sure about the "*Programme*" to be used.

Please could you confirm what is the Programme code to be used? Is it EGNSS, GAL, EGNOS, other? (Ex: **Programme**-PL-GMV-ESD-00001)

**Answer:** It is clarified that the *Programme* code to use for the document reference numbering is **ESD** (EGNSS Service Demonstrator).

**Question #11:** Topic CISL

1. EDAS SDD version referenced in CISL is 2.3 nevertheless the link provided points to version 3.0. Please confirm what is the version that applies.
2. AD.236 and AD.237 are obsolete documents. Latest version of the standard is ECSS-E-ST-70C dated 2008. Please confirm that it is ECSS-E-ST-70C the version that applies.

**Answer:**

1. EDAS SDD correct version is 3.0. It has been corrected in the updated CISL (See Corrigendum no.1).
2. The correct version of the standard is ECSS-E-ST-70C and it is now corrected in the CISL (see Corrigendum no.1).

**Question #12:** Topic: Subcontractor documentation to be delivered to EUSPA as part of the Administrative file.

In different sections of the Tender Specifications, it is mentioned the concept of "the proposed subcontractors". For instance in section 3.4.1 (for L1 and L2 evidence), section 3.5 (for M1 evidence) and in section 4.8.1 item (8).

Considering that a competitive tendering is to be arranged to comply with requirement placed in section 2.4.7.2 of the Tender Specifications; please could you confirm that for those subcontractors involved in the competitive tendering, it has to be provided to EUSPA ONLY the administrative

documentation corresponding to the selected subcontractors?

It is understood that the competitive process will be justified with the evidences (RfP, acknowledgement, etc.) but not the whole administrative documents will be provided to EUSPA for all the potential subcontractors contacted.

**Answer:** It is confirmed that the administrative file shall only be delivered for the subcontractors to which parts of the Contract are intended to be subcontracted, and not for all entities which have been contacted for the purpose organizing a competitive tendering.

**Question #13:** Topic: Applicable documents AD01 & AD02 in SC#3

The following applicable documents in SC#3 (EUSPA-ENG-SE-SOW-A31552 v 1.0):

- AD.02 SBAS Authentication Concept Draft - NAVIGATION SYSTEMS PANEL (NSP) JOINT WORKING GROUPS –TWELFTH MEETING, JWGs/12-WP/13 , 08/05/2024
- AD.03 Updated Draft SBAS Authentication SARPs - NAVIGATION SYSTEMS PANEL (NSP) JOINT WORKING GROUPS – ELEVENTH MEETING, JWGs/11-Flimsy/8, 30/11/2023

have not been found in the zip files containing the applicable and reference document in the ITT.

Please provide as soon as feasible AD.02 and AD.03.

**Answer:** AD.02 has been removed, AD.03 has been updated and included in the updated CISL (see Corrigendum no.1).

**End of document**