

### Topic 1: Overview of EO requirements for drone flight planning and safety assessment









Arnis Kadakovskis– EUSPA

03 October 2022

# The importance of the drone market

#### **Drone Outlook Study**



#### Market Report



#### **Regulatory framework**



#### Specific category – myriads of use cases

Aerial photography	Data collection		
Shipping and delivery	Traffic monitoring		
Geographic mapping	Medical transportation		
Disaster management	Disease control		
Surveillance	Filming		
Precision agriculture	Terrain modelling		
Search and Rescue	Insurance		
Weather Forecast	Sports		
Law enforcement	Wildlife monitoring		
Infrastructure inspection	Repair and maintenance		

# Enabling specific category operations

Specific Operations Risk Assessment (SORA)

 applicable to EASA specific category drones

UAS dimensions (known)

- Objectives:
  - Third parties on the ground
  - Third parties in the air
  - Critical infrastructure
- Risks to consider:
  - Of the operational environment
  - Of the geographical area
  - To the overflown population
- Opportunity for EO data to provide necessary insight

Type of operation (known)

Intri	nsic UAS Ground I	Risk Class		
Max 1105 characteristics dimension	1 m / approx.	3 m / approx.	8 m / approx.	>8 m / appro
Max UAS characteristics dimension	3ft	10ft	25ft	25ft
Typical kinetic energy expected	< 700 J	< 34 KJ	< 1084 KJ	> 1084 KJ
	(approx. 529	(approx.	(approx.	(approx.
	Ft Lb)	25000 Ft Lb)	800000 Ft Lb)	800000 Ft L
Operational scenarios				
VLOS over controlled area, located inside a sparsely populated environment	1	2	3	5
3VLOS over sparsely populated environment over-flown areas uniformly inhabited)	2	3	4	6
/LOS over controlled area, located inside a populated environment	3	4	6	8
/LOS over populated environment	4	5	7	9
VLOS over controlled area, located inside a opulated environment	5	6	8	10
BVLOS over populated environment	6	7	9	11
VLOS over gathering of people	7			
BVLOS over gathering of people	8			
Population				
overflow			igr	
(manual process)				

# How is it done today?

### Limitations

Manual assessment

### **Time Certainty**

#### Subjective

Merits of quantitative approach

- Authorities do not receive consistent formats
- Manual review of SORA
- Authorities expected to be "data experts"
- Lengthy process
- Operations are planned for a specific date and the approval needs to be granted beforehand
- Operators performing assessments with non-defined steps
- Varying sources of data with no data-quality guarantees
- Approval dependent on reviewer's view (uncertainty)
- Currently there are no targets for SORA operators do not know if they get approval

# The Copernicus Land Monitoring Service (CLMS)

- Provision of data sets:
  - Measuring land use (LU) and land cover (LC) (mergeable with population data)
  - Building heights in urban areas
  - Environmental datasets including forecasts
- Possible functions:
  - Feeding into automated services providing a quantitative approach to the SORA process
  - Contribution to understanding the environmental conditions of the operation
  - Performing post-flight assessment of trackkeeping performance



Copernicus Building Height Model (BHM)



Copernicus Urban Atlas (UA) – land use & land cover classifications

# Monitoring the population

- Global Human Settlement Layer (GHSL)
- EUROSTAT POPULATION 2018







EUROSTAT Population data

GHSL – various products

GHS data specification

## Geospatial characteristics relevant for drones

- **1)** Data resolution critical for small scale drone operations
- 2) Data coverage availability of good quality data in areas of interest
- **3)** Revising times (update rates) *data being up to date to provide sufficient value*
- **4) Data format** *data in a format that is easily accessible by wide public*
- **5)** Availability of data easy access and overview of relevant data sets